Quantitative and Qualitative Research in Understanding Educational Theory and Practice

Description

Quantitative and Qualitative research methodologies are grounded in different philosophical worldviews (Nagy, 2015). Quantitative research focuses on measuring and counting numerical data (Creswell & Poth, 2018). It is often associated with a positivist worldview (Nagy, 2015), which assumes that reality exists independently of human perception and that objective facts can be discovered (Creswell & Poth, 2018). The ontology of positivism or post-positivism asserts that social reality is objective and evident, while its epistemology holds that knowledge of this reality can be gained through scientific methods (Creswell & Poth, 2018). In contrast, qualitative research describes phenomena by emphasizing meaning and context, following a constructivist or postmodern approach that acknowledges multiple realities and suggests that reality is subjective, constructed by individuals and that multiple perspectives are valid (Nagy, 2015). The ontology of constructivism or interpretivism is multiple realities that are context-bound, epistemology is subjective, and the researcher and the participants co-construct understanding (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

default watermark

Contribution to Educational Theory and Practice

Quantitative research is rooted in the positivist worldview. It assumes that reality can be understood through empirical data (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Positivists believe that truth is discoverable through measurement and that research findings can lead to universal principles (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Therefore, this often involves using large-scale assessments to determine which teaching methods are most effective (Gall et al., 2007). For example, Matthew McCrudden, Gregory Schraw, and Gretchen Kambeâ??s research study of discourse theories focused on the constructs of goal and relevance in readings (Gall et al., 2007). The quantitative findings suggest that providing relevance instructions can enhance studentsâ?? reading strategies and educators can use the relevance instructions to improve learning outcomes without increasing cognitive load (Gall et al, 2007).

On the other hand, qualitative research is grounded in constructivism, which posits that reality is subjective and socially constructed (Cokley & Awad, 2013). It emphasizes context, meaning, and the co-construction of knowledge between the researcher and participants (Creswell & Poth, 2018). For example, a study exploring how teachers develop culturally responsive pedagogy would adopt a constructivist approach, recognizing that each teacherâ??s practice is shaped by their unique experiences and the specific cultural dynamics of their classroom, as supported by Piagetâ??s theory of intellectual development (Gall et al., 2007). His theory suggests that children actively construct their understanding of the world through experiences and interactions, and he used a qualitative approach, asking children questions about their thought processes and reasoning (Gall et al., 2007). Educators use Piagetâ??s findings and theories to design age-appropriate learning activities that match childrenâ??s cognitive abilities. Another example of how qualitative research and its benefit of deep understanding of context offers in-depth insights into the factors influencing educational experiences is the study by Gloria et al. (2005), which explored how cultural congruity and social support affected Latino studentsâ?? academic persistence on predominantly white campuses (Cokley & Awad, 2013).

Qualitative methods are also useful for exploring complex educational phenomena, such as how students navigate their identities or how teachers develop inclusive practices (Gall et al., 2007), which are often too complex to be captured by quantitative research. Girouxâ??s border pedagogy encourages crossing literal and metaphorical borders, such as those between different cultures, social classes, and political ideologies. This pedagogy seeks to challenge traditional power structures within education, advocating for a more democratic and inclusive approach where students develop the ability to think critically about issues such as race, gender, class, and colonialism (Gall et al., 2007). With the critical theory approach, qualitative research empowers marginalized voices by giving underrepresented groups a platform to express their experiences, uncovering systemic inequalities, and promoting social justice (Gall et al., 2007). For instance, Cokley & Awad (2013) highlighted how qualitative exploration of cultural mistrust among African American students reveals barriers that may be overlooked in quantitative studies (Cokley & Awad, 2013).

Quantitative Research: Strengths & Limitations

The primary strength of quantitative research is its ability to produce generalizable results (Creswell & Poth, 2018). By studying large samples and using statistical methods, researchers can infer findings that apply to broader populations (Nagy, 2015). Quantitative research also excels at establishing cause-and-effect relationships through controlled experimental designs (Nagy, 2015). For example, using an experimental or quasi-experimental approach, a study might investigate whether smaller class sizes lead to improved student performance (Fassinger & Morrow, 2013). This type of evidence is crucial for policy decisions and educational reforms (Gall et al., 2007). Also, quantitative research enables the measurement of specific educational outcomes, such as test scores, graduation rates, or student engagement, using valid and reliable instruments, providing objective feedback on the effectiveness of educational programs (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Quantitative methods are valued for their replicability and objectivity, as they follow structured and standardized procedures, employing statistical tools, making it easier for researchers to replicate studies without researcher bias (Nagy, 2015).

default watermark

One significant limitation of quantitative methods is their context insensitivity, as they often lack the depth needed to explain the underlying reasons for observed patterns, leaving educators without a complete understanding of how contextual factors influence outcomes. This issue was highlighted by Cokley and Awad (2013), who cautioned against relying solely on quantitative methods, particularly when studying marginalized populations. Another limitation is reductionism, where quantitative research can oversimplify complex educational phenomena by focusing only on quantifiable variables, potentially ignoring important qualitative aspects like student emotions, motivations, or social dynamics. As Nagy (2015, p.30) noted, positivism often fails to acknowledge the influence of prior knowledge and beliefs on human reason and perception. Additionally, ethical concerns arise when quantitative research is misused, as seen in cases like the Tuskegee Syphilis Study or the use of intelligence tests to justify racial hierarchies, where quantitative data were manipulated for harmful purposes (Cokley & Awad, 2013).

Qualitative Research: Strengths and Limitations

Qualitative methods provide contextual richness by offering thick descriptions that capture the complexities of educational settings and participantsâ?? experiences, which is invaluable for understanding how and why certain educational practices work in specific contexts (Gall et al., 2007). These methods are also marked by flexibility, as their iterative nature allows researchers to adapt their approaches as new insights emerge throughout the research process (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

Moreover, qualitative research excels in the exploration of subjective experiences, especially the emotional and psychosocial dimensions of education, such as how students from marginalized groups navigate academic environments and how these environments influence their sense of belonging (Gall et al, 2007).

One of the key criticisms of qualitative research is its limited generalizability, as it often focuses on small, specific populations, making it difficult to apply findings to larger groups (Creswell & Poth, 2018). However, qualitative researchers emphasize that the goal is not generalization but transferability, where insights from one context may inform similar settings (Fassinger & Morrow, 2013). Additionally, while qualitative research embraces subjectivity as a strength, allowing for a deeper understanding of participantsâ?? experiences (Gall et al., 2007), it can also raise concerns about researcher bias, as the researcherâ??s background, assumptions, and interactions with participants can influence data collection and interpretation (Creswell & Poth, 2018).

Conclusion

Qualitative and quantitative research contribute to educational theory and practice despite the limitations inherent in each method. Quantitative research is highly valuable for answering â??how muchâ• or â??how often,â?• focusing on measurable variables (Nagy, 2015). It provides generalizability, objectivity, and the ability to establish correlations through empirical data (Nagy, 2015). On the other hand, qualitative research explores how and why individuals or groups experience educational phenomena, offering a deep understanding of context, subjective experiences, and the complex nature of educational settings (Gall et al., 2007). It emphasizes the perspectives and interpretations of participants, particularly in understanding marginalized voices (Gall et al., 2007). Recognizing the strengths and limitations of both methodologies allows educators, researchers, and policymakers to make informed decisions that are evidence-based and sensitive to the lived realities of students and teachers (Gall et al., 2007).

default watermark

References

Cokley, K., & Awad, G. H. (2013). In defense of quantitative methods: Using the â??masterâ??s toolsâ?• to promote social justice. *Journal for Social Action in Counseling and Psychology*, 5(2), 26â??41.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Fassinger, R. E., & Morrow, S. L. (2013). Toward best practices in quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-method research: A social justice perspective. *Journal for Social Action in Counseling and Psychology,* 5(2), 69â??82.

Gall, M.D., Gall, J.P., & Borg, W.R. (2007). *Educational Research: An Introduction* (8th edition)

Gloria, A. M., Castellanos, J., Lopez, A. G., & Rosales, R. (2005). An examination of academic non-persistence decisions of Latino undergraduates. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 27(2), 202-223.

Nagy, B. (2015). *Applying and interpreting educational research I* (Version 16.1). Seattle Pacific University.

Category

1. Uncategorized

Date Created
September 21, 2024
Author
admin

default watermark